

Application No.: 10/519,736
Examiner: JULES, FRANTZ F

163-592

REMARKS

Applicant has amended claim 1 to overcome the Examiner's rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd paragraph. Applicant notes that no new matter has been added and all the amended language is fully supported by the originally filed application.

Specifically, claim 1 was amended to provide clarification of the relation between "at least one supporting roller (22)". Claim 1 was also amended to provide proper antecedent basis for claim elements "fixed supporting roller" and "said supporting rollers".

The Examiner pointed out that the claim element "movable supporting rollers" in line 2 of claim 1 is without proper antecedent basis. Applicant notes that the cited terms are found in claim 5 as opposed to claim 1. Therefore, claim 5 was amended to recite the element "lower" in relation to the "movable supporting rollers" in line 2, in order to provide proper antecedent basis.

Respectfully Submitted,



James V. Costigan Esq.
Registration No.: 25,669

Hedman & Costigan, P.C.
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, N.Y. 10036-2646
(212) 302-8989

I hereby certify that this
correspondence is being
deposited with the United States Postal Service as
first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 8/22/06

